Approved, SCAO

Original - Court 1st copy - Defendant 2nd copy - Plaintiff 3rd copy - Return

| Approved, SCAO | | rst copy - Delendant | | epy recuir |
|---|---|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| STATE OF MICH | IGAN | | C | ASE NO. |
| JUDIO | CIAL DISTRICT | SUMMONS | 10 -7/11 | CK |
| | ICIAL CIRCUIT | SOMMONS | 19-741 | Timothy P Conn |
| COU | NTY PROBATE | | | Illiothy i Colai |
| ourt address | | | | Court telephone no. |
| 101 E Huron St, Ann Arbo | r, MI 48104 | | | (734) 222-3001 |
| Plaintiff's name(s), address(es) | , and telephone no(s). | Defer | ndant's name(s), address(es), and te | lephone no(s). |
| Gonzalo E. Ubillus | | | ressive Marathon Insurance Co | |
| | | | Registered Agent -Tanisha L. M | ayfield |
| | | | 33 Five Mile Road, Suite 100 | |
| | | | nouth, MI 48170 phone: (734) 456-9639 | |
| Najaki60a attauran harra adal | Cara and talanhana na | | phone. (754) 450-7057 | |
| Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., add | ress, and telephone no. | | | |
| Michael L. Pitt (P24429) | | | | |
| Beth M. Rivers (P33614) PITT MCGEHEE PALME | R & RIVERS PC | | | |
| 117 W 4th St Ste 200, Roy | • | | | |
| Telephone: (248) 398-9800 | | | | |
| netructions: Chaol the | items below that apply t | to you and provide any ro | quired information. Submit th | s form to the court clerk |
| along with your complain | it and if necessary a ca | se inventory addendum (f | orm MC 21). The summons s | ection will be completed |
| by the court clerk. | it and, if necessary, a ca- | se inventory addendam (i | om wo 21). The summons o | socion will be completed |
| by the court clotte. | | | | |
| Domestic Relations Ca | ise | | | |
| | | n the jurisdiction of the far | mily division of the circuit cou | rt involving the family or |
| | | subject of the complaint. | | , |
| | | | of the family division of the ci | rcuit court involving |
| the family or family m | embers of the person(s) | who are the subject of th | e complaint. Attached is a co | mpleted case inventory |
| (form MC 21) listing the | hose cases. | | | |
| | | | ion of the family division of th | e circuit court involving |
| the family or family m | embers of the person(s) | who are the subject of the | e complaint. | |
| | | | | |
| Civil Case | | | | 1 MOL 000 000E |
| ☐ This is a business cas | se in which all or part of | the action includes a bus | iness or commercial dispute | under MCL 600.8035. |
| · | ding or resolved civil ac | tion arising out of the san | ne transaction or occurrence | as alleged in the |
| complaint. | those portion or other n | vartice cricina out of the tr | ansaction or occurrence alleg | and in the complaint has |
| ☐ A civil action between | these parties of other p | arties ansing out of the tr | ansaction of occurrence aneg | jed in the complaint has |
| been previously filed | in this court | | | Court, where |
| been previously med | <u>- 1110 00 011</u> | | | |
| it was given case nun | nber | and assigned to | Judge | <u> </u> |
| - 7 | _ | | | |
| The action $\ \square$ remai | ns 🗌 is no longer 🏻 per | nding. | | |
| | | [| | |
| Summons section completed I | by court clerk. | SUMMONS | | |
| | AIDANT I II | til til Olata | C B Birthian and a maddle do | |
| | NDANI: In the name of | the people of the State of | f Michigan you are notified: | |
| 1. You are being sued. | often receiving this curs | mana and a sany of the a | omplaint to file a written an s | ERW |
| 2. YOU HAVE 21 DATS | after receiving this sum | Hons and a copy of the c | ourt (28 days if you were ser | yed by mail or you were |
| served outside this st | | iawiui actioni with the C | Cuit (20 days il you were sei | N |
| serveu outside tills St | al o j. or take other action with | in the time allowed judge | nent may be entered against | you for the relief |
| demanded in the com | | ar are arre anowed, judyi | nont may be entered against | you for the follor |
| | | the court because of a di- | sability or if you require a fore | ion language interpreter |
| to help you fully partic | cinate in court proceedir | nas please contact the co | ourt immediately to make arra | ngements. |
| to help you fully partit | Apate in Sourt proceeding | igo, piodoo contact the oc | off The R | / |
| ssue date | Expiration date* | Court clerk | Life to the second | 1 |
| souc date | Expiration date* 0CT 17 201 | 9 | . / | 1 |

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHTENAW

GONZALO E. UBILLUS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

CLASS ACTION

Case No.19- 741 - CK Hon.

Timothy P Comors

VS.

PROGRESSIVE MARATHON INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

MICHAEL L. PITT (P24429) BETH M. RIVERS (P33614)

Pitt McGehee Palmer & Rivers, P.C. L. Attorneys for the Plaintiffs
117 W 4th St Ste 200
Royal Oak, MI 48067
(248) 398-9800
mpitt@pittlawpc.com

EDWARD H. ZEBERSKY (FBN 0908370)
(will apply for admission are because)

(will apply for admission pro hac vice)

MARK S. FISTOS (FBN 909191) (will apply for admission pro hac vice)

Attorneys for the Plaintiffs

110 S.E. 6th Street, Suite 2150

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

(954) 989-6333

ezebersky@zpllp.com

mfistos@zpllp.com

ndiaz@zpllp.com

SCOTT R. JEEVES (FBN 0905630)

(will apply for admission pro hac vice)

ROGER L. MANDEL (Tex. Bar 12891750)

(will apply for admission pro hac vice)

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

954 First Avenue North

St. Petersburg, FL 33705

(727) 894-2929

sjeeves@jeeveslawgroup.com

rmandel@jeevesmandellawgroup.com

CRAIG E. ROTHBURD (FBN 49182)

(will apply for admission pro hac vice)

Attorney for the Plaintiff

320 W. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 700

Tampa, FL 33606

(813)-251-8800 crothburd@e-rlaw.com

CASIM ADAM NEFF (FBN 94030)

(will apply for admission pro hac vice)

Attorney for the Plaintiff

P.O. Box 15063

St. Petersburg, FL 33733-5063

(727) 342-0617

cneff@neffinsurancelaw.com

There is no other civil action between these parties arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in this Complaint pending in this Court, nor has any such action been previously filed and dismissed or transferred after having been assigned to a Judge.

/s/ Beth M. Rivers
Beth M. Rivers P33614

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, GONZALO E. UBILLUS ("Ubillus"), individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this action against Defendant, PROGRESSIVE MARATHON INSURANCE COMPANY ("Progressive"), and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

- 1. PROGRESSIVE insures all its Michigan individual automobile policyholders using the identical Form 9611DMI (11/15) policy form (the "Policy"). The Policy allows PROGRESSIVE to adjust and settle a first-party motor vehicle total loss claim via a cash payment based upon the "actual cash value" of the insured automobile. Neither the Policy nor Michigan law gives PROGRESSIVE the right to place any conditions on payment of any component of this cash payment.
- 2. The Policy does not define "actual cash value." When a property and casualty insurance policy does not provide a definition, Michigan courts define "actual cash value" as "replacement cost less depreciation." Also, per Michigan courts, replacement costs logically and necessarily include *any* costs that an insured reasonably would be expected to incur in repairing or replacing the damaged property; in this case, replacing the total loss vehicle.
- 3. In Michigan, an insured can reasonably expect to pay a 6% sales tax on the purchase of a replacement vehicle, because it is required by Michigan law. MCL § 205.52(1). Likewise, a Michigan insured can reasonably expect to pay title and registration fees required by law in

connection with the purchase of a replacement vehicle. At a minimum, an insured will pay \$8 to transfer the registration from their total loss vehicle to the replacement car (MCL § 257.809(1)) and \$15 for a certificate of title. MCL §§ 257.217(1); 257.806(1), (3); 257.810a. Thus, sales tax and \$23 in title and registration fees constitute costs that an insured reasonably would be expected to incur in replacing a total loss vehicle.

- 4. In addition, an insured in Michigan can reasonably be expected to pay a dealer documentary preparation fee as required to be disclosed in automobile installment sales contracts by MCL § 492.113(2)(a) ("dealer fees") when purchasing a replacement vehicle. Every used car dealer in Washtenaw County, and indeed, in the State of Michigan charges such a fee. In 2005, the maximum documentation fee chargeable by any car seller in Michigan was the lesser of (a) 5% of the sale price or (b) \$160. MCL § 492.113(2)(a). This \$160 maximum is adjusted every two years to track changes in the consumer price index. *Id.* The bi-yearly adjustment is rounded to the nearest \$10 increment. *Id.* As of the date Progressive made a payment to Ubillus, the maximum documentation fee in Michigan was \$220. *See Documentary Fee Maximum Increases to \$220*, Office of Secretary of State Jocelyn Benson, https://www.michigan.gov/sos/0,4670,7-127-1631_50300_78624-209610--,00.html (last visited May 30, 2019). The overwhelming majority of used car dealers in Michigan charge the statutory maximum of \$220. Thus, dealer fees constitute costs that an insured reasonably would be expected to incur in replacing a total loss vehicle.
- 5. PROGRESSIVE breaches the Policy by failing to unconditionally pay as part of the cash payment the full sales tax, title fees, registration fees, and dealer fees that the insured reasonably would be expected to incur in replacing the total loss vehicle. First, PROGRESSIVE conditions the payment of sales tax on the insured purchasing a replacement vehicle and providing

documented proof of same to it within 30 days after the insured receives the cash payment from PROGRESSIVE of the "actual cash value" of the vehicle. The Policy does not require an insured to purchase a replacement car in order to receive a cash payment for the "actual cash value" of the total loss vehicle, as PROGRESSIVE concedes by uniformly making such cash payments without regard to whether its insureds have purchased replacement vehicles. The exact same thing is true of sales tax, as neither the Policy nor Michigan law provides for it to be treated any differently than the other components of the "actual cash value" of a total loss vehicle. And, by its conditional payment of sales tax, PROGRESSIVE concedes both that it constitutes part of the "actual cash value" of a total loss vehicle and that "actual cash value" means replacement cost less depreciation.

- 6. Second, PROGRESSIVE does not ever pay title and registration fees- not as part of the total loss cash payment and not when an insured buys a replacement car and submits documentation of same to PROGRESSIVE. Title and registration fees cannot be legally differentiated from sales tax, as both constitute costs that an insured reasonably would be expected to incur in replacing a total loss vehicle.
- 7. Third, PROGRESSIVE does not ever pay dealer fees- not as part of the total loss cash payment and not when an insured buys a replacement car and submits documentation of same to PROGRESSIVE. Dealer fees cannot be legally differentiated from sales tax, as both constitute costs that an insured reasonably would be expected to incur when replacing a total loss vehicle.
- 8. Even worse, PROGRESSIVE also breaches the Policy by either (a) requiring its total loss policyholders to permanently transfer ownership to it of their total loss vehicles without compensating them for the salvage values of those vehicles or (b) deducting the salvage values of the total loss vehicles from their total loss payments. On behalf of himself and a class of similarly situated Michigan PROGRESSIVE automobile insurance policyholders, Ubillus seeks a

declaratory judgment that the Policy requires PROGRESSIVE to unconditionally pay sales tax; vehicle title fees; registration fees; and dealer fees that an insured can reasonably be expected to incur when replacing a total loss vehicle. Ubillus further seeks a declaratory judgment that PROGRESSIVE cannot (1) require its insureds to transfer title of their total loss vehicles to PROGRESSIVE before it makes payments on their total loss claims; or (2) deduct the salvage values from insureds' total loss payments because they retain their total loss vehicles.

9. On behalf of himself and a class of similarly situated Michigan PROGRESSIVE automobile insurance policyholders, Ubillus also brings causes of action for breach of contract on behalf of himself and the proposed Class to recover damages they suffered as a result of (1) PROGRESSIVE's failure to unconditionally pay sales tax as part of its payments for total loss claims; (2) its failure to pay title and registration fees; and (3) its requirement that a Class member transfer title of a total loss vehicle or face a deduction from the Class member's total loss payment.

JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND PARTIES

- 10. This is an action asserting class claims for declaratory relief and damages pursuant to M.C.R. 3.501.
- 11. This Court has jurisdiction because Ubillus seeks declaratory relief and because the amount in controversy exceeds \$25,000, exclusive of interest, costs, and attorneys' fees.
- 12. Ubillus does not currently know if the total amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million.
- 13. Plaintiff Gonzalo E. Ubillus is, and at all material times has been, a citizen of Michigan and a resident of Washtenaw County, Michigan, and insured by PROGRESSIVE.
- 14. PROGRESSIVE is a national insurance company that regularly does business in Michigan.

 Additionally, PROGRESSIVE engaged in the conduct in Michigan which injured Ubillus and

similarly situated plaintiffs. Therefore, this Court has specific personal jurisdiction over PROGRESSIVE.

- 15. Venue is proper in Washtenaw County, Michigan, because PROGRESSIVE is a Michigan foreign corporation and it has a place of business in and conducts business in Washtenaw County.
- 16. All conditions precedent to the maintenance of this action have occurred, have been performed, have been waived, or PROGRESSIVE is estopped from asserting them, including, but not limited to the following Policy provisions (to the extent they constitute conditions precedent to maintaining this action): Part VI-Duties After an Accident or Loss and Part F-General Provisions, Payment of Premium and Fees and Legal Action Against Us.

Facts Regarding Progressive's Treatment of Ubillus and the Class

17. PROGRESSIVE insures all its Michigan individual insurance policyholders using the identical Form 9611DMI (11/15) (the "Policy"). PROGRESSIVE possesses a copy of the Policy. The Policy provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

PART IV—DAMAGE TO A VEHICLE

INSURING AGREEMENT—COLLISION COVERAGE AND BROAD FORM COLLISION COVERAGE

If you pay the premium for this coverage, we will pay for sudden, direct, and accidental loss to a:

- 1. covered auto, including an attached trailer; or
- non-owned auto;

And its custom parts or equipment, resulting from collision.

INSURING AGREEMENT—COMPREHENSIVE COVERAGE

If you pay the premium for this coverage, we will pay for sudden, direct, and accidental loss to a:

- 1. covered auto, including an attached trailer; or
- 2. non-owned auto:

And its custom parts or equipment, that is not caused by collision.

A loss not caused by **collision** includes:

- 1. contact with an animal (including a bird);
- 2. explosion or earthquake;

- 3. fire;
- 4. malicious mischief or vandalism;
- 5. missiles or falling objects;
- 6. riot or civil commotion;
- 7. theft or larceny;
- 8. windstorm, hail, water or flood; or
- 9. breakage of glass not caused by **collision**.

ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS

When used in this Part IV:

1. Collision means the upset of a vehicle or its impact with another vehicle or object.

LIMITS OF LIABILITY

- 1. The limit of liability for loss to a covered auto, non-owned auto, or custom parts or equipment is the lowest of:
 - a. the actual cash value of the stolen or damages property at the time of the loss reduced by the applicable deductible;
 - b. the amount necessary to replace the stolen or damaged property reduced by the applicable deductible;
 - c. the amount necessary to repair the damaged property to its pre-loss condition reduced by the applicable deductible;
 - d. the Stated Amount shown on the declarations page for that covered auto.
- 2. Payments for loss to a **covered auto**, **non-owned auto**, or **custom parts or equipment** are subject to the following provisions:

g. The actual cash value is determined by the market value, age, and condition of the vehicle at the time the loss occurs.

PAYMENT OF LOSS

. . .

We may, at our option:

- 1. pay for the loss in money; or
- 2. repair or replace the damaged or stolen property.

At our expense, we may return any recovered stolen property to you or to the address shown on the declarations page, with payment for any damage resulting from the theft. We may keep all or part of the property at the agreed or appraised value.

- Pursuant to the Limits of Liability for the Collision and Comprehensive coverages of the Policy, PROGRESSIVE has the right when presented with a claim for damages to a vehicle where the cost to repair would be greater than its actual cash value to declare the vehicle a total loss and pay in cash the lesser actual cash value. Of course, when a vehicle is stolen, it is a total loss, and PROGRESSIVE must pay the actual cash value in cash. This cash payment is almost always paid before a policyholder purchases a replacement vehicle and its amount is independent of what the policyholder ends up spending, if anything. In fact, the policyholder may simply keep the cash payment and never replace the total loss vehicle.
- 19. When PROGRESSIVE declares a vehicle a total loss, it must pay its insured the Michigan common law definition of "actual cash value," because the Policy provides no other definition. Michigan courts define "actual cash value" as "replacement cost less depreciation." Salesin v. State Farm Fire & Cas. Co., 581 N.W.2d 781, 790 (Mich. App. 1998). Michigan courts have further held in the context of casualty and property insurance policies that "[r]epair or replacement costs logically and necessarily include any costs that an insured reasonably would be expected to incur in repairing or replacing the covered loss." Salesin, 581 N.W.2d at 790 (holding replacement cost included contractor profit and overhead). Thus, if PROGRESSIVE elects to pay a cash payment, as it uniformly does, it must pay "any costs that an insured reasonably would be expected to incur" when purchasing a comparable vehicle. See id.
- 20. In Michigan, "any costs that an insured reasonably would be expected to incur" necessarily includes sales tax, registration fees, and title fees because the State of Michigan requires a dealer to collect those amounts in connection with the sale and registration of a used motor vehicle. It also includes dealer fees because all or virtually all auto dealers in Michigan charge dealer fees. PROGRESSIVE refuses to pay sales tax unless the insured replaces the covered vehicle and

provides it with documentation of same within thirty days after PROGRESSIVE pays the "actual cash value" of the total loss vehicle to the insured. PROGRESSIVE completely refuses to pay registration fees, title fees, and dealer fees.

- 21. PROGRESSIVE used the Mitchell WorkCenter Total Loss system (the "Mitchell System"), a proprietary electronic database product licensed from Mitchell International, Inc. ("Mitchell"), to calculate the actual cash values of the total loss vehicles for Ubillus and all the other members of the Class. The Mitchell valuation is set forth in a written report (the "Mitchell Report") generated for each total loss claim and electronically maintained by PROGRESSIVE in its claim files where it is readily retrievable.
- 22. Furthermore, even though a vehicle has been declared a total loss, it still has some monetary (salvage) value, which value is not tied to the loss or actual cash value under the Policy. During the total loss adjustment process, purportedly relying on Policy terms and its contractual relationship with its insureds, PROGRESSIVE required its insureds, including Ubillus and Class members, to transfer ownership and possession of their totaled vehicles to it before it would make payment on their total loss claims. It did so without providing them any form of monetary consideration for the salvage values of their vehicles. Alternatively, if Class members retained their salvage vehicles and either sold the vehicles themselves or continued to use them (if still drivable), PROGRESSIVE deducted the salvage values from the total loss payments made to those Class members. Either way, PROGRESSIVE took the salvage values of the total loss vehicles.
- 23. PROGRESSIVE takes the total loss vehicles' salvage values even though no provision in the Policy (a) requires insureds to permanently transfer titles of their total loss vehicles to PROGRESSIVE in order to receive payment for their total loss vehicles; or (b) provides for a deduction of salvage value from actual cash value payments of total loss claims. In the absence of

such provisions, PROGRESSIVE'S taking of Ubillus's and the Class' salvage values constitutes a breach of the Comprehensive and Collision coverages of the Policy, because it serves to reduce the total loss payments under those coverages to less than the amount required to be paid by those coverages.

Facts Regarding PROGRESSIVE's Treatment of Ubillus

- 24. On March 25, 2019, Ubillus's vehicle ("Covered Vehicle"), a 2013 Ford C-Max, was involved in a motor vehicle accident which rendered the vehicle a total loss. At that time, Ubillus was a contracting party and named insured under the Policy issued by PROGRESSIVE, which was in full force and effect. The Declarations Page for Ubillus's policy indicates that his Collision and Comprehensive coverage began on February 12, 2019. Ubillus's Standard Collision and Comprehensive Coverage limits were the "Actual Cash Value" of the 2013 C-Max, with \$1,000 deductibles for both coverages. His six-month premium was \$889. Ubillus owned the Covered Vehicle. This Declarations Page is attached as Exhibit A.
- 25. In a cover letter dated March 28, 2019, PROGRESSIVE informed Ubillus that PROGRESSIVE would not reimburse him for sales tax unless Ubillus replaced the Covered Vehicle "within thirty days." The letter also required Ubillus to submit proof of the replacement vehicle's sales price within that same 30 days. This "Sales Tax Reimbursement" letter is attached as Exhibit B.
- 26. On April 22, 2019, in a cover letter of that date, PROGRESSIVE affirmed Collision coverage for the Covered Vehicle as a total loss and told Ubillus it would pay him \$7,512.07 composed of the following: the C-Max's "Actual Cash Value" of \$8,212.07, minus a \$1,000 deductible, plus a "one time concession" of \$300. The "Actual Cash Value" came from the enclosed "Mitchell Work Center Total Loss Report" (the "Report"). The Report valued the 2013

C-Max at \$9,304.21 by comparing the list prices and sale prices of seven other 2013 Ford C-Max's. Then, the report subtracted \$1,162.14 based on Ubillus's car's "condition." Neither the cover letter nor the Mitchell Report contained an adjustment for or offered to pay sales tax, title fees, registration fees, or dealer fees. A redacted copy of the April 22, 2019 cover letter and enclosed Report are attached hereto as Exhibits C and D, respectively. Approximately one week later, Progressive stated it would pay an additional \$100 concession. The \$400 "one-time concession" resulted from Ubillus's attempts to dispute the "Actual Cash Value" calculated by the Report. It did not constitute payment for sales tax, title fees, registration fees or dealer fees.

- 27. Convinced that Progressive would pay him no more but still unsure as to his rights under the Policy, on April 30, 2019, Ubillus agreed to PROGRESSIVE to paying off the \$4,194.52 balance owed to his Covered Vehicle lender without agreeing that PROGRESSIVE had complied with the Policy in its payment of his claim. Consistent with its policy described above, PROGRESSIVE required Ubillus to sign over the title of his Covered Vehicle to PROGRESSIVE before PROGRESSIVE would pay the total loss payment. On May 7, 2019, PROGRESSIVE mailed Ubillus a check for \$3,415.03, representing the balance owed to him after payoff of his lender, which he received shortly thereafter.
- 28. On May 23, 2019, Ubillus specifically requested PROGRESSIVE to pay him sales tax regardless of whether he purchases a replacement vehicle. On May 24, 2019, PROGRESSIVE reiterated that it would not pay sales tax unless Ubillus purchased a replacement vehicle.
- 29. After PROGRESSIVE paid \$4,194.52 to his lender and \$3,415.03 to Ubillus, Ubillus (by and through his attorneys) finished his review of Michigan law and the Policy, and he duly informed PROGRESSIVE by a letter from his attorneys dated June 6, 2019, that PROGRESSIVE's adjustment of his total loss claim breached his Policy in several ways. The

letter makes clear that Ubillus does not dispute PROGRESSIVE's valuation of the Covered Vehicle. Instead, Ubillus contends that the plain meaning of "actual cash value" in the Policy provides coverage of sales tax, title fees, registration fees and dealer fees Ubillus's coverage demand letter to PROGRESSIVE dated June 6, 2019, is attached hereto as Exhibit E.

- 30. More specifically, consistent with the proper definition of "actual cash value," Ubillus's letter informed PROGRESSIVE that the Policy required PROGRESSIVE to unconditionally pay sales tax on the Covered Vehicle, making PROGRESSIVE's conditioning of the payment of sales tax on Ubillus purchasing a replacement vehicle and providing it documentation of same within 30 days a breach of the Policy. The letter informed PROGRESSIVE that neither the Policy nor Michigan law allows PROGRESSIVE to so condition payment of sales tax. The coverage demand letter requested that PROGRESSIVE pay sales tax in the amount of 6% of the total loss vehicles' values (for Ubillus, \$492.72) to Ubillus and to all similarly situated Michigan policyholders.
- 31. In his coverage demand letter, Ubillus additionally informed PROGRESSIVE that it had failed to pay the minimum vehicle registration transfer fee of \$8, the vehicle title fee of \$15, and the near-universal dealer documentation fee of \$220. The letter informed PROGRESSIVE that buyers can reasonably expect to incur these costs when replacing an insured automobile in Michigan and, therefore, that "actual cash value" necessarily includes these fees. Ubillus's letter demanded \$243 for these fees on his own behalf and on behalf of each of PROGRESSIVE's similarly situated Michigan policyholders.
- 32. PROGRESSIVE has not responded to the coverage demand letter as of the date of the filing of this Complaint. PROGRESSIVE has never paid sales tax, title fees, registration fees or dealer fees to Ubillus.

Class Action Allegations

- 33. Pursuant to M.C.R. 3.501, Ubillus properly brings Counts I, II, and III on his own behalf and on behalf of the Class defined below.
- 34. The Class consists of and is defined as all Michigan citizens who: (a) on or after six years before the date this Complaint is filed; (b) are or were covered by a PROGRESSIVE Michigan personal automobile insurance policy; (c) made a claim under the Collision or Comprehensive coverage of that policy for damage or loss to a covered vehicle which PROGRESSIVE accepted and treated as a total loss claim; and (d) PROGRESSIVE paid or attempted to pay the claim on a cash basis without unconditionally including sales tax, title fees, registration fees and/or dealer fees as part of the cash payment (hereinafter the "Class"). The class period will be from six years before the date this Complaint is filed to the date of class certification (hereinafter the "Class Period").
- 35. Ubillus reserves the right to amend the Class and/or add sub-class definitions as discovery proceeds and to conform to the evidence.
- 36. While the exact number of Class members is unknown at this time, Ubillus submits, based upon the number of insured drivers in Michigan, PROGRESSIVE's large market share and the frequency of total loss accidents, that there are at least a hundred PROGRESSIVE policyholders spread through the State of Michigan who are potential Class members in this action. Accordingly, separate joinder of all Class members would be impracticable.
- 37. This action poses questions of law and fact that are common to the members of the Class and predominate over questions affecting only individual members. Such questions of law and fact common to the Class include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - a. Whether PROGRESSIVE's refusal to pay sales tax unless the insured buys a replacement vehicle breaches the Policy?

- b. Whether Ubillus and the other Class members were damaged by PROGRESSIVE's failure to unconditionally pay sales tax?
- c. Whether PROGRESSIVE's failure to pay Michigan's \$8 registration transfer fee and \$15 title fee breaches the Policy?
- d. Whether Ubillus and other Class members were damaged in the amount of the \$23 in registration/title fees that PROGRESSIVE refused to pay?
- e. Whether Ubillus and the other Class members are entitled to a declaration that the Policy requires payment upfront of sales tax, title fees, registration fees and dealer fees as part of a cash payment?
- f. Whether the Policy does not clearly and unambiguously permit PROGRESSIVE to take the salvage values of its insureds' total loss vehicles by either (a) requiring them to transfer title and possession of the total loss vehicles to PROGRESSIVE without compensating them for the salvage values of the vehicles or (b) deducting the salvage values from the insureds' total loss payments? and
- g. Whether PROGRESSIVE thereby breached the Policy as to Ubillus and the Class, entitling them to recover from PROGRESSIVE the amounts PROGRESSIVE received from the sales of their total loss vehicles or the amounts PROGRESSIVE deducted from their total loss payments for "salvage value"?
- 38. Common questions predominate over individual questions, because all questions necessary for resolution of PROGRESSIVE's liability to Ubillus and the Class are common. The only individual issues are the amounts of damages, and they may be calculated on a mechanical, ministerial basis without the need for the trier of fact to decide them. More specifically, the damages to each Class member from PROGRESSIVE's failure to pay title and registration fees is

- \$23. The damages to each Class member from PROGRESSIVE's failure to pay sales tax can be calculated by multiplying 6% by the actual cash value of the total loss vehicle provided by the CCC Reports, which Reports are maintained in PROGRESSIVE's electronic records and are easily retrievable. The damages to each Class member from PROGRESSIVE's taking of the Covered Vehicles' salvage values is the amount for which PROGRESSIVE sold their total loss vehicle (if ownership was transferred to PROGRESSIVE) or the amount deducted by PROGRESSIVE for "salvage" from their total loss payment, which amounts are maintained in PROGRESSIVE's electronic records and are easily retrievable.
- 39. Ubillus's claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the Class because they all arise out of the exact same policies and practices of PROGRESSIVE and under the exact same theories of law.
- 40. Ubillus will fairly and adequately represent the Class, because he and the undersigned counsel he has retained have no known conflicts of interest with the other Class members, he is ready, willing and able to represent the Class, and the undersigned retained attorneys are very experienced class action practitioners with extensive experience in prosecuting class actions against automobile insurers. Ubillus's attorneys have identified and thoroughly investigated all claims in this action and have committed sufficient resources to represent the Class.
- 41. Pursuant to M.C.R. 3.501(2)(a)(i), this class action is superior to other available methods of adjudication in promoting the convenient administration of justice because the prosecution of separate actions by individual Class members would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the class that would confront PROGRESSIVE with incompatible standards of conduct. For example, the court in one case might rule that PROGRESSIVE must pay title and registration fees while another might rule that it does not have

- to do so. PROGRESSIVE would be left in an untenable legal limbo in such an event, because Michigan law requires it to treat all insureds the same under similar circumstances.
- 42. Pursuant to M.C.R. 3.501(2)(b), final declaratory is appropriate with respect to the class because PROGRESSIVE has acted and refused to act on grounds generally applicable to all members of the Class. Specifically, PROGRESSIVE has in the past and will in the future adjust total loss claims the exact same way in breach of the Policy, making declaratory relief for the Class as to its members' rights under the Policy appropriate.
- 43. Pursuant to M.C.R. 3.501(2)(c), this action will be manageable as a class action because Michigan law applies to all Class members' claims and the legal issues are simple matters of contractual interpretation. All Class members are readily ascertainable from PROGRESSIVE's records, including physical addresses and email addresses (in most cases), making the provision of notice routine. Finally, as previously explained, damages are easily and readily calculable for each Class member on a mechanical, ministerial basis. There are no unusual difficulties likely to be encountered in the management of this case as a class action.
- 44. Pursuant to M.C.R. 3.501(2)(d), in view of the expense of litigation, the separate claims of individual class members are insufficient in amount to support separate actions. A large corporation like PROGRESSIVE can be expected to vigorously defend every suit as a matter of precedent, which rapidly inflates the cost of litigation compared to the amount that an individual Class member can expect to collect in damages, anywhere from the low hundreds of dollars to the low thousands of dollars.
- 45. In contrast, pursuant to M.C.R. 3.501(2)(e), the amounts which may be recovered by individual class members will be large enough in relation to the expense and effort of administering the action to justify a class action. For example, Ubillus and the vast majority of the Class will be

entitled to recover the 6% sales tax, the \$15 title fee, and the \$8 vehicle registration transfer fee, which will amount to hundreds, and in some cases, thousands of dollars. Likewise, the salvage values of their vehicles will typically be in the hundreds of dollars. Such recoveries will be far higher than the cost per Class member that will be incurred in prosecuting this class action, and the total recovery will likely be in the millions of dollars, more than justifying the pursuit of this class action.

46. Pursuant to M.C.R. 3.501(f), the members of the Class do not have a significant interest in controlling the prosecution of separate actions because the expense and effort of individual litigation (as explained above) outweigh their potential awards. Further, the overwhelming majority of Class members do not even know they have such claims to pursue. This is demonstrated by the fact that there do not appear to be any pending individual suits in Michigan over this issue. Simply put, there are no alternatives to a class action to resolve this controversy.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

Count I - Cause of Action for Declaratory Relief

- 47. Ubillus and the Class re-allege and incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1-46 above.
- 48. Ubillus and the Class take the position that the Policy requires PROGRESSIVE to pay up front, as part of its cash payments of total loss claims, 6% sales tax, the \$15 Title Fee, the \$8 Vehicle Registration Transfer Fee, and the dealer fees which policyholders can reasonably be expected to pay. Further, Ubillus and the Class take the position that the Policy does not allow PROGRESSIVE to take the salvage values of their total loss vehicles. In contrast, PROGRESSIVE takes the position that the Policy does not require it to pay up front sales tax, title fees, vehicle registration transfer fees and dealer fees. PROGRESSIVE also takes the position that the Policy allows it to (a) force policyholders to sign over titles of total loss vehicles to

PROGRESSIVE before PROGRESSIVE makes total loss payments, or (b) deduct salvage values from policyholders' total loss payments.

- Accordingly, an actual controversy exists between Ubillus and the other Class members and PROGRESSIVE concerning the proper interpretation of the Policy and their respective rights and legal relations thereunder. Furthermore, the Court would have jurisdiction over an action related to these issues which sought relief other than a declaratory judgment (such as damages). This entitles Ubillus and the other Class members to seek declaratory relief pursuant to M.C.R. § 2.605(A)(1).
- 50. M.C.R. § 2.605(C) states that "[t]he existence of another adequate remedy does not preclude a judgment for declaratory relief in an appropriate case." Thus, regardless of whether damages are available to Ubillus and the Class, this Court has jurisdiction to determine the parties' respective rights and other legal relations under the Policy.
- 51. Ubillus and the Class now petition this Court for a declaration that the Collision and Comprehensive coverages of the Policy require PROGRESSIVE to pay up front (as part of its cash payments related to total loss claims) sales tax, title fees, vehicle registration transfer fees, and dealer fees.
- 52. Ubillus and the Class additionally petition this Court for a declaration that the Policy does not grant PROGRESSIVE the right to take the salvage values of their total loss vehicles such that doing so breaches the Policy.

Count II - Cause of Action for Breach of Contract Based on Sales Tax

- 53. Ubillus and the Class re-allege and incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1-46 above.
- 54. The Policy constituted binding contracts between PROGRESSIVE and Ubillus and the other Class members.

- As explained above, PROGRESSIVE breached the Policy by placing a condition on payment of the 6% sales tax owed to Ubillus and the other member of the Class under the Policy. Initially, PROGRESSIVE breached the Policy by never paying the 6% sales tax to Ubillus and many members of the Class who did not replace their Covered Vehicles and provide documentation of same to PROGRESSIVE within 30 days after the total loss payments. Further, PROGRESSIVE breached the Policy even when it did ultimately pay the 6% sales tax to some Class members because the Policy required PROGRESSIVE to pay the 6% sales tax unconditionally and upfront as part of the cash total loss payment.
- 56. PROGRESSIVE'S breach proximately injured Ubillus and each Class member in an amount mechanically calculable. For Ubillus and those Class members to whom PROGRESSIVE never paid the 6% sales tax, damages can be calculated by multiplying the "Actual Cash Value" set forth in the Mitchell Report by 6% and then calculating interest on that amount from the date that the Class member received the total loss payment to the date of judgment. For those Class members to whom PROGRESSIVE did eventually pay the 6% sales tax, the interest-based damages can be calculated from the date that the Class member received the total loss payment to the date that PROGRESSIVE finally paid the sales tax to the Class member.

Count III – Cause of Action for Breach of Contract Based on Title and Vehicle Registration Transfer Fees

- 57. Ubillus and the Class re-allege and incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1-46 above.
- 58. The Policy constituted binding contracts between PROGRESSIVE and Ubillus and the other Class members.
- 59. As explained above, by refusing to pay Ubillus and the Class the minimum \$8 vehicle registration transfer fee and the \$15 title fee owed to them under the Policy, PROGRESSIVE

breached the Policy. Such breach proximately injured Ubillus and each Class member in the amount of \$23.

Count IV - Cause of Action for Breach of Contract Based for Taking Salvage Values

- 60. Ubillus and the Class re-allege and incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1-46 above.
- 61. The Policy constituted binding contracts between PROGRESSIVE and Ubillus and the other Class members.
- As explained above, no provision in the Policy clearly and unambiguously gave PROGRESSIVE the right to take the salvage values of Ubillus's and the Class's total loss vehicles. By doing so, PROGRESSIVE breached the Policy, because this effectively reduced the total loss payments it made to Ubillus and the other members of the Class to less than it owed them under the Comprehensive and Collision coverages of the Policy. Such breach proximately injured Ubillus and all the other Class member in the amounts for which PROGRESSIVE sold their total loss vehicles (if ownership was transferred to PROGRESSIVE) or the amounts deducted by PROGRESSIVE for "salvage" from their total loss payments.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Gonzalo E. Ubillus and the Class respectfully request the Court to award the following relief against PROGRESSIVE:

- a. Assert jurisdiction over this action;
- b. Issue an order certifying that this action is properly maintainable as a class action under Rule 3.501 of the Michigan Court Rules and appointing Ubillus to represent the Class herein;
- c. Issue an order appointing the undersigned law firms as class counsel;

- d. Grant a judgment pursuant to Count I against PROGRESSIVE to Ubillus and the other members of the Class declaring the parties' respective rights and other legal relations under the Policy as set forth above;
- e. Grant a judgment pursuant to Count II against PROGRESSIVE awarding Ubillus and the other members of the Class damages for breach of contract as set forth above;
- f. Grant a judgment pursuant to Count III against PROGRESSIVE awarding Ubillus and the other members of the Class damages for breach of contract as set forth above;
- g. Grant a judgment pursuant to Count IV against PROGRESSIVE awarding Ubillus and the other members of the Class damages for breach of contract as set forth above;
- h. Grant a judgment pursuant to Counts II, III, and IV against PROGRESSIVE awarding Ubillus and the Class pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum rates permissible at law or in equity; and
- i. Grant a judgment against PROGRESSIVE awarding Ubillus and the Class all such other and further relief, general or special, legal or equitable, to which they may be justly entitled.

Respectfully Submitted,

Michael L. Pitt (P24429)

Beth M. Rivers (P33614)

PITT McGEHEE PALMER & RIVERS, PC

117 W. Fourth St., Ste 200

Royal Oak, MI 48067

Telephone: (248) 398-9800

Emails: mpitt@pittlawpc.com

brivers@pittlawpc.com

and

Edward H. Zebersky, Esquire FBN 0908370 (will apply for admission pro hac vice)

Mark S. Fistos, Esquire FBN 909191

ZEBERSKY PAYNE SHAW LEWENZ, LLP

110 S.E. 6th Street, Suite 2150 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Telephone: (954) 989-6333

Emails: ezebersky@zpllp.com; mfistos@zpllp.com;

ndiaz@zpllp.com

and

Scott R. Jeeves, Esquire FBN 0905630 (will apply for admission pro hac vice) Email: sjeeves@jeeveslawgroup.com

Roger L. Mandel, Texas Bar No. 12891750

(will apply for admission pro hac vice)

Email: rmandel@jeevesmandellawgroup.com; Secondary Email: khill@jeeveslawgroup.com;

lawclerk@jeeveslawgroup.com

JEEVES MANDEL LAW GROUP, P.C.

954 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33705 Telephone: (727) 894-2929

and

Craig E. Rothburd, Esquire FBN 49182 (will apply for admission pro hac vice)

Email: crothburd@e-rlaw.com

CRAIG E. ROTHBURD, P.A.

320 W. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 700

Tampa, FL 33606

Telephone: (813) 251-8800

and

Casim Adam Neff, Esquire FBN 94030 (will apply for admission pro hac vice)

Neff Insurance Law, PLLC

P.O. Box 15063

St. Petersburg, FL 33733-5063

Telephone: (727) 342-0617

Primary: cneff@neffinsurancelaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF GONZALO E. UBILLUS AND THE CLASS

Dated: July 18, 2019

Demand for Jury Trial

Ubillus and the Class hereby demand a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Respectfully Submitted,

Michael L. Pitt (P24429)

Beth M. Rivers (P33614)

PITT MCGEHEE PALMER & RIVERS, PC

117 W. Fourth St., Ste 200

Royal Oak, MI 48067

Telephone: (248) 398-9800 Emails:mpitt@pittlawpc.com

brivers@pittlawpc.com

and

Edward H. Zebersky, Esquire FBN 0908370 (will apply for admission pro hac vice)

Mark S. Fistos, Esquire FBN 909191

ZEBERSKY PAYNE SHAW LEWENZ, LLP

110 S.E. 6th Street, Suite 2150 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Telephone: (954) 989-6333

Emails: ezebersky@zpllp.com; mfistos@zpllp.com;

ndiaz@zpllp.com

and

Scott R. Jeeves, Esquire FBN 0905630

(will apply for admission pro hac vice)

Email: sjeeves@jeeveslawgroup.com

Roger L. Mandel, Tex. Bar No. 12891750

(will apply for admission pro hac vice)

Email: rmandel@jeevesmandellawgroup.com; Secondary Email: khill@jeeveslawgroup.com;

lawclerk@jeeveslawgroup.com

JEEVES MANDEL LAW GROUP, P.C.

954 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, FL 33705

Telephone: (727) 894-2929

and

Craig E. Rothburd, Esquire FBN 49182

(will apply for admission pro hac vice)

Email: crothburd@e-rlaw.com

CRAIG E. ROTHBURD, P.A.

320 W. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 700

Tampa, FL 33606

Telephone: (813) 251-8800

and

Casim Adam Neff, Esquire FBN 94030 (will apply for admission pro hac vice)

Neff Insurance Law, PLLC

P.O. Box 15063

St. Petersburg, FL 33733-5063

Telephone: (727) 342-0617

Primary: cneff@neffinsurancelaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF GONZALO E. UBILLUS AND THE CLASS

Dated: July 18, 2019

| , | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |





Policy Number: 14537359

Underwritten by:
Progressive Marathon Insurance Co
January 15, 2019
Policy Period: Feb 12, 2019 - Aug 12, 2019
Page 1 of 2

progressive.com

Online Service

Make payments, check billing activity, update policy information or check status of a claim.

1-800-776-4737

For customer service and claims service, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.

Auto Insurance Coverage Summary

This is your Renewal Declarations Page

The coverages, limits and policy period shown apply only if you pay for this policy to renew.

Your coverage begins on February 12, 2019 at 12:01 a.m. This policy expires on August 12, 2019 at 12:01 a.m.

Your insurance policy and any policy endorsements contain a full explanation of your coverage. The policy limits shown for a vehicle may not be combined with the limits for the same coverage on another vehicle. The policy contract is form 9611D MI (11/15). The contract is modified by form A245 MI (10/17).

| Drivers and resident relatives | Additional information |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| Marta K Ubillus | Named insured |
| Gonzalo E Ubillus | |

Outline of coverage

2010 JEEP COMPASS 4 DOOR WAGON

VIN: 1J4NF4FB0AD507571

Garaging ZIP Code: 48197

Primary use of the vehicle: Commute

Length of vehicle ownership when policy started or vehicle added: At least 1 month but less than 1 year

| , , , , | Limits | Deductible | Premium |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---------|
| Liability To Others | | *************************************** | \$75 |
| Bodily Injury Liability | \$20,000 each person/\$40,000 each accident | | |
| Property Damage Liability | \$10,000 each accident | | |
| Personal Protection Insurance (PIP) | *************************************** | \$500 | 167 |
| Primary Medical/Primary Workloss | | | |
| Uninsured/Underinsured Motorist | \$20,000 each person/\$40,000 each accident | | 6 |
| Property Protection Insurance | \$1,000,000 | \$0 | 12 |
| Limited Property Damage | \$1,000 | | 5 |
| Total premium for 2010 JEEP | | | \$265 |



Policy Number: 14537359 Marta K Ubillus

Page 2 of 2

2013 FORD C-MAX 4 DOOR HATCHBACK

VIN: 1FADP5AU3DL552002

Garaging ZIP Code: 48197

Primary use of the vehicle: Pleasure

| Total 6 month policy premium and fe | es | | \$1,110.00 |
|---|---|---|-----------------|
| | *************************************** | | |
| Statutory assessment recoupment | | | 42.00 |
| | | | 192.00 |
| Subtotal policy premium | | ******* | \$876.00 |
| Total premium for 2013 FORD | | | \$611 |
| Limited Property Damage | \$1,000 | | Ć |
| Standard Collision | Actual Cash Value | \$1,000 | 254 |
| Comprehensive | Actual Cash Value | \$1,000 | 41 |
| Property Protection Insurance | \$1,000,000 | \$0 | 11 |
| Uninsured/Underinsured Motorist | \$20,000 each person/\$40,000 each accident | | 10 |
| Primary Medical/Primary Workloss | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | |
| Personal Protection Insurance (PIP) | | \$500 | 207 |
| Bodily Injury Liability Property Damage Liability | \$10,000 each accident | | |
| Liability To Others | \$20,000 each person/\$40,000 each accident | | \$00 |
| zeign of tanet entire property | Limits | Deductible | Premium \$83 |
| Liability To Others | ed or vehicle added: At least 1 year but less than 3 years Limits \$20,000 each person/\$40,000 each accident | Deductible | |

Premium discounts

| Policy | |
|-----------|---|
| 14537359 | Five-Year Claim Free, Home Owner, Online Quote, Multi-Car, Continuous |
| | Insurance: Diamond, Paperless and Three-Year Safe Driving |
| Vehicle | |
| 2010 JEEP | Driver and Passenger-side Airbag |
| COMPASS | |
| 2013 FORD | Driver and Passenger-side Airbag |
| C-MAX | |

Lienholder information

| Vehicle | Lienholder |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| 2013 FORD C-MAX | PNC BANK |
| 1FADP5AU3DL552002 | PITTSBURGH, PA 15230 |

| • | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | - |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

PROGRESSIVE CLAIMS 2969 PRAIRIE ST SW SUITE 202 GRANDVILLE, MI 49418

GONZALO E UBILLUS

502314 10729 1 AB 0.412 CLTRS01K 039 010729

Underwritten By: **Progressive Marathon Insurance** Company

> Claim Number: 19-2919429 Loss Date:

March 25, 2019 Document Date: March 28, 2019

Page 1 of 1

claims.progressive.com

Track the status and details of your claim, e-mail your representative or report a new claim.

Sales Tax Reimbursement

The following information outlines the sales tax reimbursement provision for the state of MICHIGAN.

In addition to your total loss settlement, if you replace your vehicle within 30 days, we will issue a payment for the Michigan sales tax (6%). The sales tax reimbursement will be based on the actual cash value of the vehicle that was a total loss, or the purchase price of the replacement vehicle, whichever is less. Unfortunately, this is not available on a vehicle being leased.

To qualify for a tax reimbursement, please submit a dated copy of the replacement vehicle's bill of sale. You can either fax the bill of sale into my office or mail it to my attention.

If you have any questions, please contact us.

JULIE KIBLER Claims Department 1-269-459-3452

1-800-PROGRESSIVE (1-800-776-4737) Fax: 1-269-353-4367

Form 2582 XX (09/16) MI

.

| 9 6 | | | | |
|-----|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| , | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | • | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | · | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | - |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | · | | | |

Vehicle Valuation Report

Prepared For Progressive Group of Insurance Companies (800) 321-9843



Claim Information

Claim Number

Policy Number

Loss Type

Owner

19-2919429-01

COLLISION

GONZALO UBILLUS

Loss Date

Reported Date

Valuation Report Data

Valuation Report ID

Version Number

03/25/2019

03/25/2019

03/28/2019

1008782331

1

Vehicle Information

Year

Make

Model

Location

Mileage

2013

Ford

C-Max Hybrid SE 4 Door Hatchback 2.0L 4 Cyl Hybrid A FWD

MI 48197

47,092 miles

Ext Color

License

VIN

Title History

1FADP5AU3DL552002

No

Valuation Summary

Loss Vehicle Adjustments

Adjustments specific to your vehicle

Base Value =

\$9,304.21

Condition -

\$1,162.14

Prior Damage Aftermarket Parts +

\$0.00 \$70.00

Refurbishment

\$0.00

Market Value =

\$8,212.07

Settlement Adjustments

Adjustments specific to your policy

Deductible -

\$1,000.00

Settlement Value =

\$7,212.07


Settlement Value:

\$7,212.07

Loss Vehicle Detail

Loss vehicle: 2013 Ford C-Max Hybrid | SE 4 Door Hatchback | 2.0L 4 Cyl Hybrid A FWD

| Standard Equipment | |
|--|---|
| Exterior | |
| 17" machined aluminum wheels | Black rocker panel moldings |
| Body-colored bumper fascias | Body-colored manual-folding pwr mirrors -inc: signal lamps, blind spot mirrors |
| Body-colored rear spoiler | Fixed interval rear window wiper/washer |
| P225/50R17 tires | Remote liftgate release |
| Sa in chrome center grille | Tire mobility kit |
| Variable intermittent windshield wipers | |
| Interior | |
| (2) 12v pwr outlets | (2) Front/(2) rear cupholders |
| 110V pwr point | 60/40 split forward fold-flat rear seat -inc: 3-way adjustable headrests |
| Active noise cancellation system | AM/FM stereo w/CD/MP3 player -inc: (6) speakers, USB port, aux input jack, speed- sensitive volume control |
| Cargo area cover | Cargo tie-down hooks |
| Clo h front bucket seats -inc: 6-way manual driver seat, 4-way manual passenger seat, adjustable headrests | Cruise control |
| Driver left footrest | Dual illuminated vanity mirrors |
| Dual-zone automatic temp control -inc: air filtration system, rear seat heat ducts | Front console w/armrest & storage |
| Front door storage bins | Front seat storage pockets |
| Front/rear floor mats | Front/rear/cargo compartment dome lights -inc: fade to off feature |
| Illuminated entry | lluminated glovebox |
| Illuminated lock/window/cruise switches | in-floor 2nd row storage |
| Leather-wrapped manual tilt/telescopic steering wheel . | Leather-wrapped shift knob |
| MyFord | Overhead storage console |
| Pwr door locks -inc; automatic locking doors | Pwr windows w/express up/down |
| Rear grab handle w/integrated coat hook | Rear window defroster w/timer |
| Remote keyless entry | Simulated metal door & instrument panel trim |
| Smart gauge w/EcoGuide | SYNC voice-activated communications & entertainment system-inc: Applink, Bluetooth capability, steering wheel audio controls, USB port, audio input jack, 911 assist, vehicle health report *Additional SYNC services available w/annual subscrip ion fee* |
| Trip computer -inc: compass, outside temp gauge, clock, odometer, tachometer | · |
| Mechanical | |
| 4-wheel anti-lock disc brakes | Battery saver |
| Easy Fuel capless fueler | Electric pwr assisted steering (EPAS) |
| | Eroptings stabilizer have |



Gas pressurized shock absorbers

Front wheel drive

Front/rear stabilizer bars Independent rear suspension

| c | _ | 4~ | 4 | |
|---|---|----|-----|--|
| ี | 7 | Te | ٠ŧ٧ | |

| AdvanceTrac w/roll stability control | Child safety locks |
|--|--|
| Driver knee airbag | Dual stage front airbags |
| Electronic traction control | Emergency brake assist |
| Front side airbags | Front/rear side-curtain airbags |
| MyKey system -inc: top speed limiter, audio volume limiter, early low fuel warning, programmable sound chimes, BeltMinder w/audio mute | Rear seat lower anchors & tethers for children (LATCH) |
| Shoulder belts for all seating positions -inc: front seat pretensioners & adjustable upper anchors | Smart occupant sensing airbags |
| SOS Post-Crash Alert | Tire pressure monitoring system |
| | |

Loss Vehicle Base Value

Loss vehicle: 2013 Ford C-Max Hybrid | SE 4 Door Hatchback | 2.0L 4 Cyl Hybrid A FWD

Comparable Vehicle Information

Search Radius used for this valuation: 75 miles from loss vehicle zip/postal code.

Typical Mileage for this vehicle: 72,000 miles

| # | Vehicle Description | Mileage | Location | Distance From Loss Vehicle | Price | Adjusted Value |
|------------|--|--|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | 2013 FORD C-MAX HYBRID SE 4D HBK 4 2NORMAL HYBRID A 2WD | 88,418 | 48357 | 31 miles | \$7,315.00 Sold Price | \$8,509.50 |
| 2 | 2013 FORD C-MAX HYBRID SE 4D HBK 4 2NORMAL HYBRID A 2WD | 69,601 | 43537 | 43 miles | \$8,800.00 Sold Price | \$8,645.45 |
| 3 | 2013 FORD C-MAX HYBRID SE 4D HBK 4 2NORMAL HYBRID A 2WD | 46,045 | 48095 | 50 miles | \$9,950.00 Sold Price | \$9,919.66 |
| 4 | 2013 FORD C-MAX HYBRID SE 4D HBK 4 2NORMAL HYBRID A 2WD | 44,601 | 48170 | 12 miles | \$10,500.00 List Price | \$9,636.59 |
| 5 | 2013 FORD C-MAX HYBRID SE 4D HBK 4 2NORMAL HYBRID A 2WD | 60,598 | 48429 | 52 miles | \$9,900.00 List Price | \$9,587.30 |
| 6 | 2013 FORD C-MAX HYBRID SE 4D HBK 4 2NORMAL HYBRID A 2WD | 80,326 | 49224 | 60 miles | \$9,500.00 List Price | \$9,921.56 |
| 7 | 2013 FORD C-MAX HYBRID SE 4D HBK 4 2NORMAL HYBRID A 2WD | 69,815 | 44870 | 71 miles | \$8,971.00 List Price | \$8,909.42 |
| Photo HANG | | AND THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED AND ADDRE | *************************************** | | - 1/1 | eo 204.04 |

Base Value:

\$9,304.21

Loss Vehicle Adjustments

Loss vehicle: 2013 Ford C-Max Hybrid | SE 4 Door Hatchback | 2.0L 4 Cyl Hybrid A FWD

Condition Adjustments

Condition Adjustment: -\$1,162.14

Overall Condition: 2.49-Fair

Typical Vehicle Condition: 3.00

| Category | Condition | Comments |
|-----------------------|-----------|---|
| Interior | | |
| CARPET | 3 Good | |
| DASH/CONSOLE | 2 Fair | more than 2 cuts glovebox. |
| SEATS | 3 Good | |
| GLASS | 3 Good | |
| DOORS/INTERIOR PANELS | 2 Fair | more than 3 small cuts door trim. |
| HEADLINER | 3 Good | month in an ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann a |
| Exterior | | |
| VINYL/CONVERTIBLE TOP | Typical | |
| TRIM | 1 Poor | mult lrg impacts, both rear wheels. |
| BODY | 2 Fair | PR hood. |
| PAINT | 1 Poor | paint peel both qrtrs, rear bumper. |
| Mechanical | | |
| TRANSMISSION | 3 Good | |
| ENGINE | 3 Good | |
| Tire | 3 Good | If6 Ir7 rr5 rf8 |

Typical Vehicle Condition reflects a condition similar to the same year, make and model. Amount of wear and tear/ damage consistent with its age.

Comments:

After Market Parts and OEM Equipment Adjustments

| Category | Description | Adjustment Type | Purchase Date | Amount Paid | Adjustment Amount |
|----------|--|-----------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|
| INTERIOR | WINDOW TINT- SEDAN / 5 DOOR HB / WAGON | INSTANT QUOTE | | | \$70.00 |

Comparable Vehicles

Loss vehicle: 2013 Ford C-Max Hybrid | SE 4 Door Hatchback | 2.0L 4 Cyl Hybrid A FWD

Sold Price: \$7,315.00

VIN

Stock No

Listing Date

ZIP/Postal Code

Distance from Loss Vehicle

1FADP5AU2DLXXXXXX

12/27/2018

48357

31 miles

Source

FRANCHISE SALE - J.D. POWER AND ASSOCIATES

Adjustments

Mileage

Loss Vehicle

This Vehicle

Amount

47,092

88,418

\$1,194.50

Total Adjustments:

\$1,194.50

Adjusted Price:

\$8,509.50

| | | | HYBRID A2WD |
|--|--|--|-------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |

VIN

Stock No

1FADP5AU4DLXXXXXX

Listing Date 03/06/2019 ZIP/Postal Code

43537

Distance from Loss Vehicle

Sold Price: \$8,800.00

43 miles

Source

FRANCHISE SALE - J.D. POWER

AND ASSOCIATES

| 47,092 | 69,601 | \$748.14 |
|--------|--------|-----------|
| | | |
| R No | Yes | -\$799.68 |
| No | Yes | -\$103.01 |
| | | R No Yes |

Total Adjustments:

-\$154.55

Adjusted Price:

\$8,645.45

Comparable Vehicle Package Details:

203A EQUIPMENT GROUP ORDER CODE

WINTER PKG

Sold Price: \$9,950.00

Stock No

Listing Date

ZIP/Postal Code

Distance from Loss Vehicle

1FADP5AU7DLXXXXXX

01/21/2019

48095

50 miles

Source

FRANCHISE SALE - J.D. POWER AND ASSOCIATES

Adjustments

Loss Vehicle

This Vehicle

Amount

Mileage

47,092

46,045

-\$30.34

Total Adjustments:

-\$30.34

Adjusted Price:

\$9,919.66

| C-MAX HYBRID SE 4D HBK 4 2 NORMAL HYBRID A2WD |
|---|
|---|

VIN 1FADP5AU2DL527804 Stock No

P18729A

Listing Date 02/17/2019 ZIP/Postal Code

Loss Vehicle

48170

Distance from Loss Vehicle

List Price: \$10,500.00

12 miles

Source

734-451-2110

DEALER WEB LISTING - CARS.COM DICK SCOTT CHRYSLER DODGE JEEP RAM 684 ANN ARBOR RD PLYMOUTH MI 48170

Adjustments Projected Sold Adjustment

Mileage

47,092

This Vehicle

44,601

-\$793.00 -\$70.41

Amount

Total Adjustments:

-\$863.41

Adjusted Price:

\$9,636.59

List Price: \$9,900.00

VIN

Stock No 1FADP5AU8DL550827

7-181403B

Listing Date

ZIP/Postal Code

Distance from Loss Vehicle

02/27/2019

48429

52 miles

Source

DEALER WEB LISTING - CARS.COM **GRAFF CHEVROLET DURAND** 9009 LANSING RD

DURAND MI 48429 989-288-2657

Adjustments

This Vehicle

Amount

Projected Sold Adjustment

Mileage

47,092

Loss Vehicle

60,598

-\$748.00 \$435.30

Total Adjustments:

-\$312.70

Adjusted Price:

\$9,587.30

| | 2013 | EODD | C-MAY HYRRID | SE 4D HBK 4 2 NORMAL | HYBRID A2WD |
|-----|--------|-------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|
| 23% | 7111.3 | PURIL | CHINIA'S DIDKIL | 3E 40 DON 4 2 NONWAL | THORED MAYYD |

VIN

1FADP5AU7DL551564

Stock No

8T400A

Listing Date 03/10/2019 ZIP/Postal Code

Loss Vehicle

49224

Distance from Loss Vehicle

List Price: \$9,500.00

60 miles

This Vehicle

Source

DEALER WEB LISTING - CARS.COM ALBION MOTORS FORD 1411 NORTH EATON STREET ALBION MI 49224 517-629-9111

Adjustments Projected Sold Adjustment

Mileage

47,092

80,326

-\$717.00 \$1,138.56

Amount

Total Adjustments:

\$421.56

Adjusted Price:

\$9,921.56

List Price: \$8,971.00

Stock No

1FADP5AUXDL505405 38979A Listing Date

ZIP/Postal Code

Distance from Loss Vehicle

12/27/2018

44870

71 miles

Source

DEALER WEB LISTING - CARS.COM MATHEWS FORD LINCOLN MERCURY 610 EAST PERKINS AVENUE

SANDUSKY OH 44870

855-219-3576

| Adjustments | Loss Vehicle | This Vehicle | Amount |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| Projected Sold Adjustment | | | -\$677.00 |
| Mileage | 47,092 | 69,815 | \$712.51 |
| Equipment | | | |
| WINTER PKG | No | Yes | -\$97.09 |

Total Adjustments:

-\$61.58

Adjusted Price:

\$8,909.42

Comparable Vehicle Package Details:

WINTER PKG

Sub-Model Comparison

Original MSRP Configuration Sub-Model Description \$25,200.00 2013 Ford C-Max Hybrid SE 4 Door Hatchback 2.0L 4 Cyl Hybrid FWD

Vehicle Valuation Methodology Explanation

WorkCenter Total Loss was designed and built in conjunction with J.D. Powers, experts in data analysis and vehicle pricing and a highly trusted name among consumers. With years of experience in vehicle pricing, J.D Power is a credible, third-party expert whose name provides consumer recognition and confidence. WCTL provides a consistent methodology across all vehicles and it includes valid comparable vehicles that most closely resemble the totaled vehicle and are similar to the vehicles a consumer would find in their own research.

WorkCenter Total Loss produces accurate and easy-to-understand vehicle valuations via this five step process:

Step 1 - Locate Comparable Vehicles

Locate vehicles that are the closest match to the loss vehicle in the same market area. WorkCenter Total Loss utilizes consumer-based vehicle sources along with inventory directly from Dealerships. When available WCTL also provides sold vehicle records from sources such as J.D. Powers.

Step 2 - Adjust Comparable Vehicles

Make adjustments to the prices of the comparable vehicles. The comparable vehicles are identical to the loss vehicle except where adjustments are itemized. There are several types of comparable vehicle adjustments

- Projected Sold Adjustment an adjustment to reflect consumer purchasing behavior (negotiating a different price than the listed price).
- Mileage Adjustment an adjustment for differences in mileage between the comparable vehicle and the loss vehicle.
- Equipment- adjustments for differences in equipment between the comparable vehicle (e.g. equipment packages and options) and the loss vehicle.

Step 3 - Calculate Base Vehicle Value

The base vehicle value is calculated by averaging the adjusted prices of the comparable vehicles.

Step 4 - Calculate Loss Vehicle Adjustments

There are four types of loss vehicle adjustments:

· Condition Adjustment:

Adjustments to account for the condition of the loss vehicle prior to the loss.

Prior Damage Adjustment:

Adjustments to account for any prior damage present on the loss vehicle prior to the loss.

· After Market Part Adjustment:

Adjustments to account for any after market parts present on the loss vehicle prior to the loss.

· Refurbishment Adjustment:

Adjustments to account for any refurbishment performed on the loss vehicle prior to the loss.

Step 5 - Calculate the Market Value

The Market Value is calculated by applying the loss vehicle adjustments to the base value.

| | · | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

PROGRESSIVE CLAIMS 2969 PRAIRIE ST SW SUITE 202 GRANDVILLE, MI 49418

PROGRESSIVE

502524 17486 1 MB 0.428 CLTRS01F 052 017486

GONZALO E UBILLUS

[1] Indian | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1] | [1]

Underwritten By: Progressive Marathon Insurance Company

> Claim Number: 19-2919429 Loss Date: March 25, 2019 Document Date: April 22, 2019

Page 1 of 1

claims.progressive.com

Track the status and details of your claim, e-mail your representative or report a new claim.

Claim Information

This letter will serve to acknowledge our inspection of the vehicle in regard to the above captioned loss. This vehicle has been reprieted a total loss as a result of this accident.

Progressive has determined the actual cash value of the vehicle to be \$8212.07. The collision deductible is \$1000.00. We have offered a one time concession of \$300.00. If this is accepted, he amount Progressive will pay is \$7512.07.

If you disagree with out settlement offer, please refer to the Appraisal section of your policy.

If we cannot agree with you on the amount of a loss, then we or you may demand an appraisal of the loss. Within 30 days of any demand for an appraisal, each party shall appoint a competent and impartial appraiser and shall notify the other party of that appraisers identity. The appraisers will determine the amount of loss. If they fail to agree, the disagreement will be submitted to a qualified and impartial umpire chosen by the appraisers. If the two appraisers are unable to agree upon an umpire within 15 days, we or you may request that a judge of a court of record, in the county where you reside, select an umpire. The appraisers and umpire will determine the amount of loss. The amount of loss agreed to by both appraisers, or by one appraiser and the umpire, will be binding. You will pay your appraiser's fees and expenses.

We will pay our appraiser's fees and expenses. All other expenses of the appraisal, including payment of the umpire if one is selected, will be shared equally between us and you. Neither we nor you waive any rights under this policy by agreeing to an appraisal.

Should you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

JULIE KIBLER Claims Department 1-269-459-3452 1-800-PROGRESSIVE (1-800-776-4737) Fax: 1-269-353-4367

Form 2587 XX (01/08) MI



June 6, 2019

Julie Kibler
Progressive Marathon Insurance Company
2969 Prairie St SW
Suite 202
Grandville, MI 49418
Sent via email to: Julie_Kibler@progressive.com
Sent via fax to: 269-353-4367

RE: My Client/Your Insured: Gonzalo E Ubillus

Date of Accident: 3/25/2019

Progressive's Claim No.: 19-2919429

Dear Ms. Kibler:

On behalf of my above-named client, this letter requests additional payments, and responses, in connection with Progressive Marathon Insurance Company's ("Progressive's") adjustment of the total loss claim for my client's 2013 Ford C-Max Hybrid SE (the "Insured Vehicle").

As a reminder, Progressive used a Mitchell Work Center Total Loss Report ("Mitchell Report") to calculate an actual cash value of the Insured Vehicle of \$8,212.07 (consisting of a base value of \$9,304.21 plus a condition adjustment of -\$1162.14), resulting in a total payment of \$7,212.07 made on the Insured Vehicle after subtracting the deductible (\$1,000.00). Please be advised that my client does not, at this time, dispute the valuation of the Insured Vehicle and instead directs Progressive to matters ancillary to the Insured Vehicle's valuation. As the Mitchell Report used dealer advertised prices to calculate the base value of the Insured Vehicle, Progressive clearly contemplates and admits that its insureds will typically buy replacement vehicles from dealers, making amounts charged by dealers relevant to replacement cost and, thus, to "actual cash value."

As noted, Progressive has initially recognized its obligation under the Progressive's policy of insurance to make a settlement payment on the "actual cash value" of the Insured Vehicle. Unfortunately, Progressive's payment on the Insured Vehicle's value did not satisfy Progressive's obligation to pay the replacement cost of a comparable vehicle as dictated by the Michigan common law definition of "actual cash value," resulting in three breaches of Progressive's insurance policy.

www.JeevesLawGroup.com

First, consistent with the proper definition of "actual cash value," Progressive agreed to pay sales tax on the Insured Vehicle, but it improperly conditioned payment of sales tax, requiring that my client purchase a replacement vehicle within a limited window of time and provide the sales price of the replacement vehicle to Progressive. Neither the Policy nor any Michigan statute allows Progressive to so condition payment of sales tax, and my client hereby demands payment of sales tax in the amount of 6% of the total loss vehicle value (here \$492.72) on his own behalf and that you pay sales tax to all of Progressive's similarly situated Michigan policyholders. Please review this claim and then advise if Progressive agrees that it is required to pay sales tax on total loss claims without condition. If Progressive contends it can condition payment of sales tax, please provide a detailed explanation of why, including any and all authority upon which Progressive relies.

Second, Progressive has paid nothing for registration and title fees which Progressive can reasonably expect would be incurred in connection with the purchase of a replacement vehicle, just like sales tax. The minimum amount an insured could ever pay is \$8.00 for a vehicle registration transfer fee and \$15.00 for a vehicle title fee. These fees can be found on the Michigan Secretary of State's website. Thus, my client demands payment of \$23.00 for these fees on his own behalf and on behalf of all of Progressive's similarly situated Michigan policyholders. Please review this claim and then advise if Progressive agrees that these fees must be paid under this policy. If Progressive does not agree, please provide a detailed explanation why these fees are not covered, including any and all authority upon which Progressive relies.

Third, every used car dealer in Washtenaw County, and indeed, in the State of Michigan charges a dealer documentation fee, so Progressive should have reasonably anticipated my client would incur such a charge in purchasing a comparable motor vehicle. In 2005, the maximum documentation fee chargeable by any car seller in Michigan was the lesser of (a) 5% of the sale price or (b) \$160. MCL § 492.113(2)(a). This \$160 maximum is adjusted every two years to track changes in the consumer price index. *Id.* The bi-yearly adjustment is rounded to the nearest \$10 increment. *Id.* As of the date Progressive made payment to my client, the maximum documentation fee in Michigan was \$220. *See Documentary Fee Maximum Increases to \$220*, OFFICE OF SECRETARY OF STATE JOCELYN BENSON, https://www.michigan.gov/sos/0,4670,7-127-1631_50300_78624-209610--,00.html (last visited May 30, 2019). The overwhelming majority of used car dealers in Michigan charge the statutory maximum.

Therefore, my client now demands Progressive pay him \$220.00, and, further, demands that it pay the dealer documentation fees applicable at the time of their total loss payments to all of Progressive's similarly situated Michigan policyholders. Please review this claim and then advise if Progressive agrees that the policy requires payment of dealer documentation fees. If Progressive does not agree, please provide a detailed explanation of why these fees are not covered, including any and all authority upon which Progressive relies.

Progressive has 14 days to make the requested payments to my client and to respond either that it will pay all your similarly situated Michigan policyholders or provide the requested explanations of why it will not.

Very truly yours,

Scott R. Jeeves Æsq.

cc: Michael Pitt, Esq. (via email only mpitt@pittlawpc.com)
Beth Rivers, Esq. (via email only brivers@pittlawpc.com)